

FSB vs. CIA: confrontation or cooperation?

(Thoughts on the CNN interview with Adam Schiff, the senior member of the Intelligence Committee of the House of Representatives, about explosions at the Boston Marathon)

The naivete of some Americans, sometimes turning into nonsense, has no limit. Congressman Adam Schiff (D-CA), the senior member of the Intelligence Committee of the House of Representatives, asks a rhetorical question in an interview on April 28 with CNN: "There must be a reason why Russia started to keep an electronic file on Zubeydat Tsarnaeva, the mother of the brothers Tsarnaev, or why they started eavesdropping on one of her friends or aides/associates. "

First of all, is Mr. Schiff sure that this file or rather, a sort of record, sent by Russia to America just after the Boston Marathon bombings, was not a fake? Doubts arise especially about the issue of jihad, "vaguely referred to" during Zubeydat's alleged telephone conversations with Tamerlan. The authenticity of such a conversation looks very doubtful, since a woman of North Caucasian ethnicity would not discuss with a man the questions of politics and religion, especially on the phone. However, eavesdropping on Zubeydat, as well as of a growing number of Russian citizens, has its more likely reason: Zubeydat has lived rather a long time in the United States, and now has returned - and those who have returned from abroad, have always aroused suspicion in Russia. Or it could be a "special task" because the Tsarnaev family, judging by some facts, appears quite closely linked to the FSB and Kadyrov's regime.

Further, Mr. Schiff said: "We have not received this information [record of a phone conversation - VP] from the Russians. It is possible that the Russians just did not want to share this information with us. "

Well, if they do not want to share, but strongly call for "cooperation" and "exchange of information", doesn't it look suspicious or at least controversial to Mr. Schiff? It seems that Mr. Schiff does not understand that the "Russians" - in this context, he refers this term specifically to the FSB, the successor of the notorious KGB - are the worst enemies of America and want to share with America only terrorists and murderers (they are already doing it very well in Europe and other countries all over the world); that they involve America, secretly or openly, in all possible frauds, conflicts, and wars, and then blame them on America; everything that they do and write is a lie or a provocation aimed at causing the maximum harm to America. And this is being done with an open cynicism and absurd statements, on one hand, and with unctuous speeches about "regret" and "support in the future", on the other. America (as well as other civilized countries) believes all these discrepancies are only small "offenses", like a childish prank or innocent error. And they "forgive" Russia and again find themselves caught up on its proposals for closer cooperation and requests for various concessions. The closer the cooperation, the greater harm for America and Europe. Democratic countries have not yet understood this kind of Russian behavior.

Russian secret services employees inherited from their predecessors a completely different mentality (which is largely passed on to the Russian people) - criminal, corrupt to the inaccessible heights, with incomprehensible (for normal people) logic. All proposals of such "Russians" are aimed at undermining America. Russians speak about this aim rather openly in Russia. It is if you, a normal person, were talking to a criminal. He is dressed in the same way as you are, and speaks much the same, even though sometimes he inserts words that are not quite clear to you, but you do not pay attention to it. You and he are talking about something, even negotiate. You smile, and so does he. Both say goodbye. And after 5 minutes, he kills you. Everybody asks: "Why?" The question is idle and rhetorical. That's because he possesses a different mentality and has a different "logic" that you will never understand.

I would like to illustrate my assertion with a statement by RIA Novosti editor-in-chief Igor Korotchenko to National Defense magazine about the tragic events in Boston: "The main lesson for the United States in this story would be to enhance cooperation with Russia in the fight against terrorism and to fill it (the cooperation or partnership) with real content. Taking into account the roots of the current terrorist attack in Boston, the U.S. should be interested in sharing information with the intelligence agencies of the Russian Federation on terrorist groups operating in the North Caucasus." Doesn't Mr. Schiff feel the cynical hypocrisy in this statement, its mocking style? What "lesson" should America learn? After all, America had requested from Russia more details about its warning on the Tsarnaevs two years ago. It is quite clear that Russia failed to share this information, but after the attack it hypocritically claimed to "regret" that failure. To me it sounds like explicit malice and abuse of our democracy and our way of life and thinking.

Mr. Korotchenko states clearly the real goal of Russia's "collaboration with the United States": "The U.S. has excellent equipment for satellite reconnaissance and electronic interception. In addition, both the CIA and the FBI have some operational and analytical information on international terrorist groups," - said Korotchenko.

Most likely, he is talking about possible terrorist attacks during the 2014 Olympics in Sochi. Associated Press refers to the experts who have pointed out that the "Caucasian trace" in Boston explosions "might persuade the U.S. to work more closely with Russia in the fight against the terrorist threat to the Olympic Games in Sochi." But if America would help Russia in this respect, instead of boycotting the Olympics and withdrawing its team, we will have to just assume it's a betrayal of America by the CIA, the FBI, the government and President Obama (whom I warmly supported in the 2012 election).

America is full of Russian espionage agents who have penetrated into all areas of her life. It is possible that they somehow manipulated the way to direct the FBI and the CIA onto the wrong track. The whole Tsarnaev family is apparently closely linked to the FSB; their uncle, Ruslan Tsarni, who allegedly works with the FBI, is probably an agent of the Kremlin. He directed the FBI and journalists to a certain Michael, who allegedly had an impact on the radicalization of Tamerlan Tsarnaev. In fact, Michael, as it turned out, has nothing to do with it and had not seen Tamerlan for 6 or 7 years. Ruslan Tsarni should be interrogated and his contacts have to be

investigated. Obviously, he is associated with Zubeydat (Tamerlan's mother), who also referred to Michael and his influence on Tamerlan. Rather than analyze who may benefit from a terrorist attack involving Chechens, the interrogators and police departments begin to suspect totally innocent people: Salman Masaev, who graduated from Harvard long ago and has left America; they are looking for Sergei, Michael's brother; they have found Musa Hadzhimuratov, heavily affected by the war in Chechnya; with other Bostonian Chechens, they are checking for any possible relationship with al-Qaeda and even with Dokka Umarov. With all the investigative efforts of U.S. law enforcement agencies, the FSB, as a possible orchestrator, is above suspicion: they simply "do not want to share what they know."

Due to the fact that there were too many inconsistencies, errors, and apparently lies in what law enforcement agencies tell the media related to the explosions and the Tsarnaev brothers, let me cite a comment by one of the readers of "Kavkaz-Center" website about a hypothetical "scenario" of the bombing, and compare it with the tragedy of September 11, 2001.

"From all the information available on the tragedy in Boston, I had the impression that the Tsarnaevs were framed by the U.S. intelligence services. In my version, it looks like the following. The Tsarnaevs were offered by some special services (probably by the CIA) to participate in civic exercises during the Marathon on condition of anonymity. Here, directly or indirectly, the Tsarnaevs' uncle might be involved: he is crazy enough, judging by his aggressiveness. Their mission was simple: to put the backpacks with fireworks into position, and let the police find them. The organizers of the alleged exercises probably cautioned the Tsarnaevs that just a few noisy bursts could occur but they would be safe; then, rescue teams would be activated, etc. This version can explain their peaceful conduct during and after the explosions. Perhaps a mediator reassured them that they would be above suspicion, and that real terrorists who took advantage of the 'war-game' situation and detonated a bomb would be apprehended soon. Perhaps, only the older brother was told about an alleged exercise plan, while they (the CIA) asked him to take his younger brother with him, not explaining to him the whole matter. Now, after the elder brother was killed, the role of a scapegoat was appointed the younger, Johar. Not having precise information, or even having a distorted version, it would be almost impossible for him to defend himself. He would be put under severe pressure which can be even more severe in the absence of help from his parents and those who can understand and support him. "

In connection with the question why Russia, knowing something about the Tsarnaevs did not question Tamerlan in Dagestan, - there is a good answer in one of the Caucasian opposition blogs: "Did the FSB sincerely want to warn Americans, or did the FSB want to keep the Tsarnaevs in the dark in their own interests in the United States?" I would add that if the FSB really suspected Tamerlan might join the Caucasian radicals, they would not have sent warnings to the USA, but would have requested the USA to deport him; and if he had willingly gone to Russia, he would have been arrested on the plane directly at the Russian airport (like the Chechens deported from Europe to the "mercy" of Russia), and would have been sent to a new GULAG, arranged for the Chechens and other North Caucasians. However, there is still one American, at least, who has a realistic understanding of the essence

of the FSB. Mr. Rogers in the ABC program "This week" said that "we must remember that the FSB is a hostile intelligence service against the FBI and the CIA."

Even before the completion of a special operation to search for the perpetrators of the explosions in Boston, on the initiative of the Russian side a telephone conversation between Vladimir Putin and Barack Obama had taken place, the press service of the Kremlin pointed out. The Russian President once again expressed condolences in connection with the attack. Both sides emphasized the interest in increasing the coordination of Russian and American intelligence services in the fight against international terrorism. We should remember that even less than an hour after the September 11 tragedy, Putin called then-President George Bush and also expressed his condolences and called for "closer cooperation". It seems that this "cooperation" at the time led to a single conclusion: the Chechen Republic, then led by President Aslan Maskhadov (whose legitimacy was confirmed by all international observers at the elections in 1997), was obviously looking on America and the West as its possible allies and models. With no response from the West, Chechnya was turned (with the aid of the Putin's puppet Kadyrov) into the ground for the Mafia and terror directed not only against the people of Chechnya, but also against the West.

If the terrorist act committed in Boston, as the reader above thinks, involved the U.S. intelligence services, why did they need to organize a terrorist attack with the Chechens? Obviously, it was necessary for those circles in the United States, which benefit from some kind of relations with Russia, or simply for agents of Russia.

Here is a description of the situation before the tragedy of September 11 (according to official sources).

"Operation 'Northern Alliance' - the exercises (war-game) of NORAD (North American Aerospace Defense Command), which consisted of the deployment of combat aircraft at bases in Alaska and Northern Canada - was a response to Russian military exercises in which the long-range bombers were sent to Russia's Far North. The exercises in America, scheduled for September 11, 2001, included the testing of a response in the event of a bomb attack from the former Soviet Union. One of the options was to model a suspected hijacking simulation situation on the very day of September 11. According to General Eberhart, it took about 30 seconds to adjust to the reality of the situation.

Asides from military exercises on the same day September 11, a National Reconnaissance Office (NRO) drill was being conducted on September 11, 2001. In a simulated event, a small aircraft would crash into one of the towers of the agency's headquarters after experiencing a mechanical failure. The NRO is the branch of the Department of Defense for spy satellites. According to its spokesman Art Haubold: "No actual plane was involved." He said further: "It was just an incredible coincidence that this happened to involve an aircraft crashing into our facility", and as soon as the real world events began, we canceled the exercise."

The 9/11 advance-knowledge conspiracy theories center on arguments that certain institutions or individuals other than the perpetrators had foreknowledge of the September 11 attacks. Some of the primary concerns include whether the Bush administration or US Armed Forces had

awareness of the planned attacks method; given, first of all, questions whether the Bush administration and the U.S. military had really formed the idea of planning an attack and its methods, and the precise volume of intelligence that American agencies had regarding al-Qaeda activities inside the United States. Additional facets of conspiracy theories include debate as to whether warnings received from foreign agencies were specific enough to have warranted preventive action. "

Do not these "debates" recall to mind the opaque Russian request to "check and question the brothers Tsarnaev? The FBI questioned Tsarnaev, but did not find anything suspicious enough to warrant the taking of preventive measures. I'm sure that at that time there really was not revealed anything suspicious, - assuming the interrogation was not conducted by individuals associated with the agents of the Federal Security Service, planted into the FBI.

Victoria Poupko, Boston
vpoupko@gmail.com